

Stability of GRNNs

Alejandro Ribeiro, Luana Ruiz, and Fernando Gama

1 Preliminary results, definitions and assumptions

The equations that describe the GRNN are represented by the following equations

$$\mathbf{z}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{z}_{t-1}) \quad (1)$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{y}}_t = \rho(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{S})\mathbf{z}_t) \quad (2)$$

1.1 Preliminary results and definitions

Proposition 1 *Let \mathbf{S} be a GSO and $\tilde{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{S} \mathbf{P}$ be a permutation of this GSO, for some permutation matrix $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}$. Let \mathbf{x}_t be a graph signal and $\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{x}_t$ the permuted version of the signal. Then, it holds that*

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t + \mathbf{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1}) = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{z}_t \quad (3)$$

$$\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_t = \rho(\mathbf{C}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t) = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{y}_t \quad \text{for all } t. \quad (4)$$

where $\mathcal{P} = \{\mathbf{P} \in \{0, 1\}^{N \times N} : \mathbf{P}\mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}\}$.

Proof: Refer to Appendix 4.1. ■

Definition 1 (Relative perturbation matrices) *Given GSOs \mathbf{S} and $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$, we define the set of relative perturbation matrices modulo permutation as*

$$\mathcal{E}(\mathbf{S}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}}) = \left\{ \mathbf{E} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} : \mathbf{P}^\top \tilde{\mathbf{S}} \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{E} \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \mathbf{E}^\top, \mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P} \right\}. \quad (5)$$

We define the distance between two graphs described by \mathbf{S} and $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ respectively as

$$d(\mathbf{S}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}}) = \min_{\mathbf{E} \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{S}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}})} \|\mathbf{E}\|. \quad (6)$$

Notice that if $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ is a permutation of \mathbf{S} , then $d(\mathbf{S}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}}) = 0$.

Definition 2 (Integral Lipschitz filters) We say that the filter $\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} a_k \mathbf{S}^k$ is integral Lipschitz if there exists C such that its frequency response $a(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^{K-1} a_k \lambda^k$, satisfies

$$|a(\lambda_2) - a(\lambda_1)| \leq C \frac{|\lambda_2 - \lambda_1|}{|\lambda_1 + \lambda_2|/2} \quad (7)$$

for all $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Integral Lipschitz filters also satisfy $|\lambda a'(\lambda)| \leq C$, where $a'(\lambda)$ is the derivative of $a(\lambda)$.

1.2 Assumptions

Under the following assumptions, we prove that GRNNs built from integral Lipschitz filters are stable to relative perturbations in Theorem 1.

Assumption 1 The filters \mathbf{A} , \mathbf{B} and \mathbf{C} of the GRNN (1)-(2) are integral Lipschitz [cf. (7)] with constants $C_{\mathbf{A}}$, $C_{\mathbf{B}}$ and $C_{\mathbf{C}}$ and normalized filter height $\|\mathbf{A}\| = \|\mathbf{B}\| = \|\mathbf{C}\| = 1$, respectively.

Assumption 2 The pointwise nonlinearities σ and ρ (1)-(2) are normalized Lipschitz, i.e. $|\sigma(b) - \sigma(a)| \leq |b - a|$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, and satisfy $\sigma(0) = \rho(0) = 0$.

Assumption 3 The initial hidden state is identically zero, i.e. $\mathbf{z}_0 = \mathbf{0}$.

Assumption 4 The inputs \mathbf{x}_t satisfy $\|\mathbf{x}_t\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\| = 1$ for every t .

Assumption 5 We focus on single-feature GRNNs.

2 Stability of the GRNNs

Theorem 1 (Stability of GRNNs) Consider two graphs with N nodes represented by the GSOs $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^H$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$. Let $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{U}^H \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{S}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}})$ be a relative perturbation matrix [cf. (5)] such that [cf. (6)]

$$d(\mathbf{S}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}}) \leq \|\mathbf{E}\| \leq \varepsilon. \quad (8)$$

Let \mathbf{y}_t and $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_t$ be the outputs of GRNNs (1)-(2) running on \mathbf{S} and $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ respectively, and satisfying AS1 through AS4. Then, it holds that

$$\min_{\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}} \|\mathbf{y}_t - \mathbf{P}^T \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_t\| \leq C(1 + \sqrt{N}\delta)(t^2 + 3t)\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \quad (9)$$

where C is the maximum filter constant,

$$C = \max\{C_{\mathbf{A}}, C_{\mathbf{B}}, C_{\mathbf{C}}\}$$

and $\delta = (\|\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{V}\| + 1)^2 - 1$ measures the eigenvector misalignment between the GSO \mathbf{S} and the error matrix \mathbf{E} .

3 Proof of stability of the GRNNs

Lemma 1 Let $\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{V}\mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{V}^H$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{S}}$ be graph shift operators. Let $\mathbf{E} = \mathbf{U}\mathbf{M}\mathbf{U}^H \in \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{S}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}})$ be a relative perturbation matrix [cf. Definition 1] whose norm is such that

$$d(\mathbf{S}, \tilde{\mathbf{S}}) \leq \|\mathbf{E}\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

For an integral Lipschitz filter [cf. Definition 2] with integral Lipschitz constant C , the operator distance modulo permutation between filters $\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{S})$ and $\mathbf{H}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})$ satisfies

$$\|\mathbf{H}(\mathbf{S}) - \mathbf{H}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})\|_{\mathcal{P}} \leq 2C(1 + \delta\sqrt{N})\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \quad (10)$$

with $\delta := (\|\mathbf{U} - \mathbf{V}\|_2 + 1)^2 - 1$ standing for the eigenvector misalignment between shift operator \mathbf{S} and error matrix \mathbf{E} .

Proof: See [1, Theorem 3]. ■

Proof: [Proof of Theorem 1]

Without loss of generality, assume $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}$ in (6) and write $\tilde{\mathbf{S}} = \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{E}\mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}\mathbf{E}^\top$, $\tilde{\mathbf{A}} = \mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})$, $\tilde{\mathbf{B}} = \mathbf{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \mathbf{C}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})$. From (2), we can write

$$\|\mathbf{y}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_t\| = \|\rho(\mathbf{C}\mathbf{z}_t) - \rho(\tilde{\mathbf{C}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t)\| \leq \|\mathbf{C}\mathbf{z}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{C}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t\| \quad (11)$$

since $\rho(\cdot)$ is normalized Lipschitz. Adding and subtracting $\mathbf{C}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ on the right-hand side of (11), and using both the triangle and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities, we get

$$\|\mathbf{y}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_t\| \leq \|\mathbf{C}\|\|\mathbf{z}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t\| + \|\mathbf{C} - \tilde{\mathbf{C}}\|\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t\|. \quad (12)$$

The norm of \mathbf{C} is assumed bounded, and Lemma 1 gives a bound to $\|\mathbf{C} - \tilde{\mathbf{C}}\|$. Using (1), we can write

$$\|\mathbf{z}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t\| = \|\sigma(\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}_{t-1}) - \sigma(\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x}_t + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1})\| \quad (13)$$

$$\leq \|\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}_{t-1} - (\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\mathbf{x}_t + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1})\| \quad (14)$$

$$\leq \|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|\|\mathbf{x}_t\| + \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}_{t-1} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1}\| \quad (15)$$

where the first inequality follows from the fact that $\sigma(\cdot)$ is also normalized Lipschitz and the second from the triangle and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities respectively. The norm difference $\|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|$ is bounded by Lemma 1 and $\|\mathbf{x}_t\| \leq \|\mathbf{x}\|$ for all t , so we move onto deriving a bound for the second summand of (15). We rewrite it as

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbf{B}\mathbf{z}_{t-1} + \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1} - \mathbf{B}\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\mathbf{z}_{t-1}\| \\ & \leq \|\mathbf{B}\|\|\mathbf{z}_{t-1} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1}\| + \|\mathbf{B} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\|\|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1}\| \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

which results in a recurrence relationship between $\|\mathbf{z}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t\|$ and $\|\mathbf{z}_{t-1} - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1}\|$. Expanding this recurrence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{z}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t\| & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \|\mathbf{B}\|^i \|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\| \|\mathbf{x}\| \\ & \quad + \|\mathbf{B}\|^t \|\mathbf{z}_0 - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_0\| + \|\mathbf{B} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\| \sum_{i=1}^t \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-i}\| \\ & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \|\mathbf{B}\|^i \|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\| \|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{B} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\| \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \|\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_i\| \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality follows from $\mathbf{z}_0 = \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_0$. Now it suffices to bound $\|\mathbf{z}_i\|$ for any given $i > 0$. Writing \mathbf{z}_t as in (1) and observing that,

because $\sigma(\cdot)$ is normalized Lipschitz and $\sigma(0) = 0$, $|\sigma(x)| < |x|$, we can use the triangle and Cauchy-Schwarz inequalities to write

$$\|\mathbf{z}_i\| \leq \|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{x}_i\| + \|\mathbf{B}\|\|\mathbf{z}_{i-1}\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \|\mathbf{B}\|^j \|\mathbf{A}\|\|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\mathbf{B}\|^i \|\mathbf{z}_0\| \quad (17)$$

for $i > 0$. Substituting this in (16), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{z}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t\| &\leq \|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|\|\mathbf{x}\| \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \|\mathbf{B}\|^i \\ &+ \|\mathbf{B} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\| \left(\|\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|\|\mathbf{x}\| \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\|^j + \|\mathbf{z}_0\| \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\|^i \right). \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

Finally, substituting equations (16) and (18) in (12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{y}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_t\| &\leq \|\mathbf{C}\| \left[\|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|\|\mathbf{x}\| \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \|\mathbf{B}\|^i \right. \\ &+ \|\mathbf{B} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\| \left(\|\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|\|\mathbf{x}\| \sum_{i=1}^{t-1} \sum_{j=0}^{i-1} \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\|^j + \|\mathbf{z}_0\| \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\|^i \right) \left. \right] \\ &+ \|\mathbf{C} - \tilde{\mathbf{C}}\| \left[\sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\|^i \|\tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|\|\mathbf{x}\| + \|\tilde{\mathbf{B}}\|^t \|\mathbf{z}_0\| \right]. \end{aligned}$$

This expression can be simplified by applying Lemma 1 to the norm differences $\|\mathbf{A} - \tilde{\mathbf{A}}\|$, $\|\mathbf{B} - \tilde{\mathbf{B}}\|$ and $\|\mathbf{C} - \tilde{\mathbf{C}}\|$, and by recalling that $\|\mathbf{A}\| = \|\mathbf{B}\| = \|\mathbf{C}\| = 1$, $\|\mathbf{x}\| = 1$ and $\mathbf{z}_0 = \mathbf{0}$. Denoting $C = \max\{C_{\mathbf{A}}, C_{\mathbf{B}}, C_{\mathbf{C}}\}$ the maximum filter Lipschitz constant, we recover (9) with $\mathbf{P} = \mathbf{I}$,

$$\|\mathbf{y}_t - \tilde{\mathbf{y}}_t\| \leq C(1 + \sqrt{N}\delta)(t^2 + 3t)\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2) \quad (19)$$

which completes the proof. \blacksquare

4 Proofs of Auxiliary Results

4.1 Proof of Proposition 1

Proof: [Proof of Proposition 1] Since the permutation matrix $\mathbf{P} \in \mathcal{P}$ is orthogonal, we have $\mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{P} = \mathbf{P}\mathbf{P}^\top$, which implies

$$\tilde{\mathbf{S}}^k = (\mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{S} \mathbf{P})^k = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{S}^k \mathbf{P}. \quad (20)$$

taking into account that

$$\mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}}) = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{P} \quad (21)$$

and applying $\mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})$ to $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{x}$ yields

$$\mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{P} \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{x}. \quad (22)$$

Graph convolutions are thus permutation equivariant. Using (1), we can then write $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t$ as

$$\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t = \sigma(\mathbf{A}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})\tilde{\mathbf{x}}_t + \mathbf{B}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_{t-1}) \quad (23)$$

$$= \sigma(\mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{z}_{t-1}) \quad (24)$$

$$= \mathbf{P}^\top \sigma(\mathbf{A}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{x}_t + \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{z}_{t-1}) = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{z}_t \quad (25)$$

where the second-to-last equality follows from the fact that σ is pointwise and hence permutation equivariant. Since ρ is also pointwise, by a similar reasoning we have $\tilde{\mathbf{y}}_t = \rho(\mathbf{C}(\tilde{\mathbf{S}})\tilde{\mathbf{z}}_t) = \mathbf{P}^\top \rho(\mathbf{C}(\mathbf{S}) \mathbf{z}_t) = \mathbf{P}^\top \mathbf{y}_t$. ■

References

- [1] F. Gama, J. Bruna, and A. Ribeiro, “Stability properties of graph neural networks,” *arXiv:1905.04497v2 [cs.LG]*, 4 Sep. 2019. [Online]. Available: <http://arxiv.org/abs/1905.04497>